



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/977,591	11/25/1997	JUNICHI NAKATA	450100-4193	3294	
20999 75	590 09/08/2005		EXAMINER		
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.			TRAN, HAI V		
NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2611		
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/200	DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	08/977,591	NAKATA ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	<u></u>
	Hai Tran	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILEI	OWT NIHTIW O
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. stutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejectio	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) y reduce any
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exponents a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS	·	`	•
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	f, will <u>not</u> be entered t	oecause ·
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>19-40</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-18</u> .		•	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•		
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	at before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary
D. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	Vo(s)	
13. Other:			
		-	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues, "... In this process, an identification code identifying a user (sent from a control request from a second presentation device) is not matched with a previously stored identification code identifying the user." see Applicant remark page 13, lines 14-18. In response, the Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant because Theimer discloses that clients making requests from a 2nd device/location are identified (Col. 8, lines 60-Col. 9, lines 12; Col. 10, lines 3-25; Col. 11, lines 20-45) and the device's policy database is then used to decide whether or not to perform any given client RPC request (see Col. 14, lines 62-67. In doing so, the requesting client's identity is checked to be matched with pre-stored client id before granting the request (Col. 11, lines 25-44), for example delivering video message from a device at location A to another device at location B when the user moves from location A to location B. At location B, the user able to request the delivery of the video message from location A to location B because the user id is registered with the Name Service in which the system recognizes the user id whenever the user logon the network regardless of the location in which the user logon. As such, the examiner maintains the rejection.

HAITRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER